~~SECRET~~

ADC951377

Project SEESAW (U)

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES ALEXANDRIA VA

FEB 1968

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; 07 APR 1982. Other requests shall be referred to Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, Attn: TIO, 3701 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203-1714.

DECLASSIFIED
BY CHIEF, RECORDS & DECLASS DIV, WHS
DATE 3 AUGUST 2010

~~SECRET~$

D30003

Redistribution Of DTIC-Supplied Information Notice

All information received from DTIC, not clearly marked "for public release" may be used only to bid on or to perform work under a U.S. Government contract or grant for purposes specifically authorized by the U.S. Government agency that is sponsoring access OR by U.S. Government employees in the performance of their duties.

Information not clearly marked "for public release" may not be distributed on the public/open Internet in any form, published for profit or offered for sale in any manner.

Non-compliance could result in termination of access.

Reproduction Quality Notice

DTIC's Technical Reports collection spans documents from 1900 to the present. We employ 100 percent quality control at each stage of the scanning and reproduction process to ensure that our document reproduction is as true to the original as current scanning and reproduction technology allows. However, occasionally the original quality does not allow a better copy.

If you are dissatisfied with the reproduction quality of any document that we provide, please free to contact our Directorate of User Services at (703) 767-9066/9068 or DSN 427-9066/9068 for refund or replacement.

Do Not Return This Document To DTIC

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
ADC951377
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; 07 APR 1982. Other requests shall be referred to Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, Attn: TIO, 3701 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203-1714.
FROM Distribution: Further dissemination only as directed by Advanced Research Projects Agency, Attn: TIO, Washington, DC 20301, FEB 1968, or higher DoD authority.
AUTHORITY
DARPA ltr dtd 7 Apr 1982

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNANNOUNCED

~~SECRET~~

(1)

D68-C02293

STUDY S-307

Control No. WB-538
Copy 1 of 1 cys

PROJECT SEESAW (U)

Harold W. Lewis, *Chairman*
Robert E. LeLevier
Arnold Nordsieck
Andrew M. Sessler
Kenneth M. Watson
Steven Weinberg

"NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION"
"Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions"

February 1968

Distribution Limited to U. S. Government Agencies Only. T&E
Other requests for this document must be referred to ARPA/TIC, 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209 NOTAB
7 APR 1982

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES
JASON

DTIC FILE COPY

CLASSIFIED BY: DD 254
DECLASSIFY ON:
REVIEW ON: Jm 1992

DTIC
ELECTE
APR 7 1982
S A

DECLASSIFIED
BY CHIEF, RECORDS & DECLASS DIV, WHS
DATE 3 AUGUST 2010

IDA/~~Log No.~~ HQ 68-7409
Copy 10 of 65 copies

~~SECRET~~

82 04 07 501

SAI/DEN-I-75,068
Cy 1 of 1

~~SECRET~~

STUDY S-307

PROJECT SEESAW (U)

Harold W. Lewis, *Chairman*
Robert E. LeLevier
Arnold Nordsieck
Andrew M. Sessler
Kenneth M. Watson
Steven Weinberg

RECEIVED
~~[illegible] 1968~~
CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT CONTROL
TTELLE COLUMBUS LABORATORIES
BMW / BDIAC

~~RECEIVED~~
~~MAR 21 1968~~
~~CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT CONTROL~~
~~ATTELLE COLUMBUS LABORATORIES~~
Inst. of Defense Anal/BDIAC

February 1968

DS-5

[redacted]

Dumo AO 536

RECEIVED
[illegible] 25 1969
CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT CONTROL
TTELLE COLUMBUS LABORATORIES
BMW/BDIAC

IDA

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES
JASON
400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202

Contract DAHC15 67 C 0011

DECLASSIFIED
BY CHIEF, RECORDS & DECLASS DIV, WHS
DATE 3 AUGUST 2010

~~SECRET~~

(3-27-68-dr) SB

Rec'd 3-21-68 IDA via CDC/BMI

CONTENTS

I.	Purview	1
II.	Outlook	1
III.	Theoretical and Experimental Situation	2
IV.	Relevant Experimental Facilities	3
V.	Observations and Recommendations	3

I. PURVIEW

(U) ~~(S)~~ The panel* took as its domain the present state of theory and experiment on physical problems relevant to the program and paid no attention to matters of engineering or systems design. These latter problems have been dealt with by other panels and may indeed be the most difficult questions in an analysis of the potential of the program. The panel considered only the question of whether one can, on scientific grounds, exclude the possibility of developing weapons system based on the SEESAW concept, and then analyzing the scientific program in these terms. It will be seen that the answers are incomplete.

II. OUTLOOK

~~(S)~~ In this program the theoretical achievements have long been ahead of the experimental achievements. The main uncertainties are in the areas of single-pulse survivability, hole-boring, and instabilities. In the latter the streaming and hose instabilities have received the most attention, though the sausage instability may also be relevant. Only in the case of the hose instability for a continuous beam has there been any quantitative experimental verification of the theory and there are still unexplained discrepancies in this simplest situation. Some semiquantitative information on the onset of the streaming instability has also been obtained. Since the proposed system configuration is so much more complicated than even the theory has been able

*In the fall of 1967, the Acting Director of ARPA asked JASON to convene a panel to make comments and recommendations about the progress of Project SEESAW.

to treat well, and a fortiori beyond existing experimental verification, we cannot with confidence say anything about the possible ultimate utility of the system as a weapon. We are sorry that the experimental program is now at a standstill, due to the extensive modifications of the Astron accelerator now in progress at Livermore, and our recommendation will be in the direction of reactivating it.

III. THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL SITUATION

~~(C)~~ The theories of single-pulse survivability and of the hole-boring process have been carried rather far for an unmodulated beam, though problems associated with the structure of the plasma channel still remain unsolved. The experimental equipment currently available to this program does not have sufficient power to permit an exploration of any of these questions.

~~(C)~~ The theories of the hose, streaming, and sausage instabilities have been carried to a high degree of sophistication, both for the modulated and unmodulated beam, though the structure of the plasma channel assumed in these calculations is somewhat idealized. Experiments at Livermore have demonstrated the existence of the hose instability for an unmodulated beam, and have produced semiquantitative agreement between theory and experiment for this case. The experiments have probably also demonstrated the existence of the streaming instability, though nothing quantitative is known here. Such other matters as mode mixing, nonlinearily, and the interplay among the various instabilities (as, for example, when the streaming instability induces the ionized plasma channel within which the hose instability is developed, as in the Livermore experiments) have received only minor theoretical attention and no experimental attention. Computer modeling efforts to bring these matters together, primarily by Brueckner, are still in an early stage of development.

IV. RELEVANT EXPERIMENTAL FACILITIES

~~(S)~~ The major experimental facility associated with this program has been from the beginning the electron injector for the Astron machine at Livermore, developed for the AEC for other reasons. The SEESAW experiments have been riding on this facility, which has saved money for both parties. The facility is not now active, though preparations for its reactivation are in progress.

~~(S)~~ We have also recently become aware of a class of higher current machines (of which we have had the most detailed contact with those made by Physics International) which produce electron beams of approximately the same energy as the Astron beam, at currents up to 100 times as large. These machines are relatively inexpensive, but probably do not have the same beam quality, although the latter is not entirely clear. These machines were also developed for other reasons, and there is not associated with any of them experimental diagnostic equipment of the quality and diversity of that associated with the Livermore facility. As sources of high current relativistic electron beams, however, we believe this class of machines to have considerable potential for expansion of the SEESAW experimental program.

V. OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

~~(S)~~ 1. We believe that the program should be continued. This recommendation is based on the current state of scientific uncertainty which does not permit us to confidently rule out the ultimate feasibility of the weapon system.

~~(S)~~ 2. We recommend that Livermore be pressured to enlarge the theoretical and analytical support to the SEESAW experimental program, which has functioned in the past almost entirely independently of the very considerable theoretical competence available at the Laboratory. We are aware of some of the reasons for this condition, but find it ironic that in this most over-theorized project the experimental

program exists almost entirely disjoint from the relevant theoretical community.

~~(S)~~ 3. As has been urged by other panels convened in the mists of antiquity, we also urge that the continued development of a relevant experimental program be given the highest priority. We recommend particularly the development of an experimental program based on the type of machine currently available from Physics International, whether the program is based at Physics International or elsewhere. These machines produce electron beams in the right domain, and it remains only to bring diagnostics to them, or them to diagnostics. We recognize that if ARPA decides to fund a program at Physics International itself, such a program will suffer from lack of previous involvement. In this event, one might consider asking the Stanford Research Institute to monitor such a program, since it has been the seat of much of the theoretical work in the past.

~~(S)~~ 4. We have not considered, and cannot comment upon, the detailed experimental program proposed by the Livermore Laboratory. Because of the time factor, we have not judged this to be the most pressing question before us, but will be happy to undertake such an evaluation separately, if desired.

RADAR PERFORMANCE NOTES FROM LARRY BRENNAN AND JOHN MALLETT

In radars designed for precise tracking and position measurement, range accuracy is generally better than angular accuracy. An angular accuracy of 10^{-4} radians is roughly the best that can be expected at large signal-to-noise ratios (due to gear train or other mechanical errors in dish-type antennas or component tolerances in phased arrays) and at a range of 150 km this corresponds to a 15 meter position error. When accuracy is limited by signal-to-noise ratios, the r.m.s. error in angular position is approximately:

$$\delta x \doteq \frac{\theta R}{\sqrt{S/N}}$$

where θ is beamwidth and R slant range. For a beamwidth of 1° and R of 150 km, $\delta x \doteq 2000/\sqrt{S/N}$ meters. Range accuracy is proportional to pulse length and is given roughly by

$$\delta R \doteq \frac{c\tau}{2\sqrt{S/N}}$$

where c is the speed of light and τ the pulse length. For a τ of 1/10 microsecond $\delta R \doteq 15/\sqrt{S/N}$ meters. Using pulse compression, pulse lengths of 1/10 microsecond or shorter can be obtained without unreasonable peak power requirements. A slant range accuracy of 1 meter or better can be obtained, neglecting errors due to propagation effects.

A system consisting of three (or more) widely spaced radars could be used for trilateration, each radar measuring slant range to $\sim$ 1 meter. The resulting position accuracy can then be computed from the geometry of the problem, and would be roughly 1 meter for spacings such that the three radar lines of sight are orthogonal. If more than one object is present in the radar measurement volume, there is an association or ghosting problem.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR STUDY S-307

ODDR&E

Director
Defense Research and Engineering
Attn: Dr. John S. Foster, Jr.
Washington, D. C. 20301

ARPA

Director (4)
Advanced Research Projects Agency
Attn: Lt.Col. R.M. Dowe, Jr.
The Pentagon
Washington, D. C. 20301

Air Force

Office of Assistant Secretary
of the Air Force, R & D
Attn: Mr. Harry Davis
The Pentagon
Washington, D. C. 20301

Wright-Patterson AFB (2)
Attn: Capt. Norman E. Featherston
Col. John T. A. Ely
Foreign Technology Division (TDETN)
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Mr. H.S. Hoffman
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
P.O. Box 9321
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Navy

Chief (2)
Office of Naval Research
Attn: Code 402 (Dr. D.W. Padgett)
Code 422 (Cdr. C.W. Causey, Jr.)
Washington, D. C. 20360

Army

NIKE-X Systems Office
U.S. Army, Office Chief Research
and Development
Attn: Dr. Charles Johnson
206 N. Washington Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

North American Aviation, Inc.
Attn: Dr. Charles Cook,
Dept. 846
1700 E. Imperial Highway
El Segundo, California 90246

Atomic Energy Commission

Director
Atomic Energy Commission
Attn: Mr. Amasa Bishop,
Asst. Dir.
Controlled Thermo-Nuclear Res.
Washington, D. C. 20545

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Division of Research J-309
Attn: Mr. Stephen Dean
Washington, D. C. 20545

Battelle-Memorial Institute
Attn: Battelle-DEFENDER
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Attn: Dr. Andrew M. Sessler
Dr. Lloyd Smith
Dr. Kenneth Watson
Berkeley, California 94720

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory (2)
Attn: Mr. Nicholas Christofilos
Dr. Edward Teller
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, Calif. 94550

Stanford Research Institute (7)
Attn: Dr. Allen M. Peterson
Dr. S. V. Yadavalli
Dr. Ram Yadavalli
Mr. Howard Singhaus
Dr. Carson Flammer
SEESAW Library (3)
Menlo Park, California 94025

Dr. R. Meyerott
Lockheed Missiles & Space Company
P. O. Box 504
Sunnyvale, California 94088

RAND Corporation
Attn: Dr. Robert LeLevier
1700 Main Street
Santa Monica, California 90401

Dr. Harold Lewis
P. O. Box 1042
Goleta, California 93017

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Attn: Dr. Conrad Longmire
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544

General Motors Defense Systems Div.
Attn: Dr. Arnold Nordsieck
6767 Hollister Avenue
Goleta, California 93017

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Attn: Dr. W. K. H. Panofsky
P. O. Box 4349
Stanford, California 94305

General Atomic Division
General Dynamics Corporation
Attn: Dr. Marshall Rosenbluth
P. O. Box 1111
San Diego, California 92112

Dr. Herbert C. Rothenberg
P. O. Box 1925
Main Station
Washington, D. C. 20013

General Research Corporation
Attn: Dr. Robert D. Hill
P. O. Box 3537
Santa Barbara, California 93105

Aerospace Corporation
San Bernardino Operations
Attn: Dr. Sidney W. Kash
Dr. Eric Durand
Dr. Brian D. Henshall
P. O. Box 1308
San Bernardino, California 92402

Dr. Albert Petschek
Research and Development Div.
New Mexico Institute of Mining
& Tech.
Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Cornell University
Attn: Dr. Peter L. Auer
Graduate School of Aerospace Engr.
Grumman Hall
Ithaca, New York 14850

Dr. Willard Bennett
Dept. Of Physics, NCSU
P. O. Box 5342
Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

Dr. Donald L. Kerst
Dept. of Physics, Sterling Hall
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

University of California,
San Diego
Attn: Dr. Keith A. Brueckner
Dr. Norman Kroll
P. O. Box 109
La Jolla, California 92737

University of Illinois
Attn: Dr. P. G. Kruger
Department of Physics
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Dr. Frederick Mills
Director
Midwestern Universities
Research Assn.
P. O. Box 6
Stoughton, Wisconsin

IDA

Mr. William Bradley
Dr. Steven Weinberg

Mr. Fred A. Koether, ARPA, TIO

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Institute for Defense Analyses	2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION ~~SECRET~~ 2b. GROUP 2

3. REPORT TITLE

Project SEESAW (U)

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

Study S-307 February 1968

5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)

Harold W. Lewis, Robert E. LeLevier, Arnold Nordsieck, Andrew M. Sessler, Kenneth M. Watson, Steven Weinberg

6. REPORT DATE February 1968	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 5	7b. NO. OF REFS -
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DAHC15 C 67 0011 b. PROJECT NO. c. d.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) Study S-307 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) NA	

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT In addition to security requirements which apply to this document and must be met, it may be further distributed by the holder only with specific prior approval of ARPA/TIO.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NA	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY NA

13. ABSTRACT

(U) This study reports on a review of the status of theory and experiment relevant to Project SEESAW and makes observations and recommendations about continued work in these two areas.

DD FORM 1 NOV 65 1473

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT

Security Classification

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

~~SECRET~~

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944
FT BELVOIR, VA 22060-6218

OFFICIAL BUSINESS - PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, $300
POSTMASTER: DO NOT FORWARD

AD Number	Pages	Quantity	Type Copy	Source	Priority
ADC951377	13	1 of 1	H	E	

Received Date: 02-FEB-10

To: 105392

Requested By: PR#78198 CDR
Attn: bradley, Scott

DEF Advanced Rsch Proj Agcy

3701 N Fairfax Dr Ste 255
Arlington, VA 22203-1714

862364001

Distributed By DTIC
Information For The Defense Community

~~SECRET~~

20081104334