(b)(1)

(b)(1)

[redacted]

(U) We now turn to a discussion of specific interrogation techniques employed in the course of Operation IRAQI FREEDOM. Our GTMO and Afghanistan sections have described legal and humanitarian concerns surrounding the use of certain techniques, such as stress positions; with some exceptions, we have not reiterated those concerns in this section, which simply describes the techniques employed. Nevertheless, the aforementioned concerns should be borne in mind.

(U) Our discussion is divided into four parts: first, doctrinal techniques contained in FM 34-52; second, techniques introduced by the September 2003 CJTF-7 interrogation policy; third, techniques not specifically mentioned by any policy; and fourth, techniques prohibited by law or policy.

(U) FM 34-52 Techniques

(U) Several observations regarding specific techniques derived from FM 34-52 follow.

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

(S/NF) [redacted]

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
COPY NUMBER ONE
SECRET/NOFORN

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

[redacted]

[redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(U) Techniques Introduced by the September 14, 2003 CJTF-7 Policy Memorandum

(U) General Observations

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

SECRET/NOFORN Iraq
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
COPY NUMBER ONE

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 462

(b)(1)

[redacted]

[redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 463

(b)(1) [redacted]

(U) Continued Use of Some Retracted and Prohibited Techniques

~~(S/NF)~~ Illustrating our previous finding regarding the breakdown of dissemination, the chart demonstrates that the use of some of the techniques approved in the September 2003 memorandum continued even until July 2004, despite the fact that many were retracted by the October 2003 memorandum, and some were subsequently prohibited by the May 2004 memorandum. However, the use of the retracted and prohibited techniques was by no means universal. Some units we interviewed, such as the 312th MI Battalion (assigned to the 1st Cavalry Division), appeared to have received and strictly implemented the May 13, 2004 policy. Nevertheless, the relatively widespread use of these techniques supports our finding that the policy documents were not always received or thoroughly understood.

(U) Doctrinal Techniques

(S/NF) (b)(1) [redacted]

(S/NF) (b)(1) [redacted]

(S/NF) (b)(1) [redacted]

(S/NF) (b)(1) [redacted]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

(b) (1)

[redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

(S/NF) [redacted]

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
COPY NUMBER ONE

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 465

(b)(1)

[redacted]

(U) Additional Techniques

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 466

(b)(1)

[redacted]

individual consideration due to their potential for abuse.

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

(b)(1)

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

(U) We received only rare reports of the other techniques listed; however, these deserve

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 467

(b)(1)

[redacted]

(b)(1)

(~~S/NF~~) [redacted]

(~~S/NF~~) [redacted]

(U) As in Afghanistan, the normal employment of strip searches by MPs, and hygiene inspections by medical personnel, may contribute to any impression that U.S. forces employed clothing removal techniques. These practices had stopped by the time of our visit to Iraq, and U.S. forces were clearly making every effort to safeguard the privacy of detainees during security and hygiene procedures. (It should be reiterated, however, that strip-searching detainees is a doctrinal technique in accordance with FM 3-19.40.)

(~~S/NF~~) [redacted]

(~~S/NF~~) [redacted]

(b)(1)

(~~S/NF~~) [redacted]

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 468

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
COPY NUMBER ONE

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

(b) (1)

[redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~ • Iraq

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
COPY NUMBER ONE

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 469

(b) (1)

[redacted]

(b) (1)

[redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

[redacted]

(U) Prohibited Techniques

~~(S/NF)~~ [redacted]

(U) We next describe our specific findings pertaining to these prohibited techniques. We have erred on the side of caution by including in our discussion examples that might not be of sufficient severity to merit inclusion among the prohibited techniques, or were not explicitly related to interrogation, and thus do not appear on the chart. In addition, we describe several factors that, like strip searches and hygiene inspections, could contribute to *perceptions* that some of these techniques have been employed. Except where necessary, we do not provide further discussion of

OSD AMNESTY/CCR 470